



Calhoun: The NPS Institutional Archive

DSpace Repository

Theses and Dissertations

1. Thesis and Dissertation Collection, all items

1991

A probabilistic derivation of Stirling's formula

Li, Hsin-Yun

Monterey, California. Naval Postgraduate School

http://hdl.handle.net/10945/26711

Copyright is reserved by the copyright owner

Downloaded from NPS Archive: Calhoun



Calhoun is the Naval Postgraduate School's public access digital repository for research materials and institutional publications created by the NPS community. Calhoun is named for Professor of Mathematics Guy K. Calhoun, NPS's first appointed -- and published -- scholarly author.

> Dudley Knox Library / Naval Postgraduate School 411 Dyer Road / 1 University Circle Monterey, California USA 93943

http://www.nps.edu/library













NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL Monterey, California



THESIS

L61953

A PROBABILISTIC DERIVATION
OF
STIRLING'S FORMULA

by

Li, Hsin-Yun

March 1991

Thesis Advisor:

C. L. Frenzen

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.



| SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE | | | | | T | 1. | | |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| REPORT | N PAGE | PAGE | | | Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188 | | | |
| 1a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified | | 16 RESTRICTIVE | | | | | | |
| 2a SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY | | 3 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for | | | | | | |
| 2b DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE | | public release; distribution is unlimited. | | | | | | |
| 4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) | | 5 MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) | | | | | | |
| 6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION | 6b OFFICE SYMBOL | 7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION | | | | | | |
| Naval Postgraduate School | (If applicable) MA | Naval Postgraduate School | | | | | | |
| 6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) | 7b ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) | | | | | | | |
| Monterey, CA 93943-5000 | Monterey, CA 93943-5000 | | | | | | | |
| 8a. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION | 8b OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) | 9 PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER | | | | | | |
| 8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) | | 10 SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS | | | | | | |
| | | PROGRAM ELEMENT NO | PROJECT NO | TASK NO | | WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO | | |
| | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | <u></u> | | |
| 11 TITLE (Include Security Classification) A PROBABILISTIC DERIVATION OF | F STIRLING'S FOR | MULA | | | | | | |
| 12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Li, Hsin-Yun | | | | | ., | | | |
| | | | | 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15 PAGE COUNT 48 | | | | |
| 16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION The view not reflect the official policy | ws expressed in icy or position | | | | | | | |
| 17 COSATI CODES | 18 SUBJECT TERMS | (Continue on revers | se if necessary | and identify | y by block | number) | | |
| FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP | alysis, Probability, Combinatorial, and | | | | | | | |
| | Calculus | | | | | | | |
| Stirling's formula is one of used in probability and state shall give a new probabilist from sampling randomly with Utually a repetition will out ively. We shall show that follows: as n> the expense before all n are obtained confattempts we make to obtain expected number of distinct | the most frequenciation, algoritation of replacement from the cour before we obtained total numbers of the court in all not distinct the court is all not distinct to | ently used rhm analysis f Stirling's magroup of btain all n mula can be er of distinasymptotical talternativ | and physi formula. n distinct derived a ct altern ly equal | cs. In Our m ct alte alterna nd inte atives to the | this notivaternatives erprete we mus expect | thesis we ion comes es. consected as t sample ed number | | |
| | ☑ UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☐ SAME AS RPT ☐ DTIC USERS | | | 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified 22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c OFFICE SYMBOL | | | | |
| 22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL C.L. Frenzen | (408) 646- | 2435 | | MA/Fr | | | | |
| DD Form 1473 IIINI 86 | Provious aditions are | ohsolete | SECURI | TY CLASSIFI | ICATION C | OF THIS PAGE | | |

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

A Probabilistic Derivation of Stirling's Formula

by

Li, Hsin-Yun LCDR, Republic of China Navy B.S., Chinese Naval Academy, 1980

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN APPLIED MATHEMATICS

from the

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL March 1991

ABSTRACT

Stirling's formula is one of the most frequently used results from asymptotics. It is used in probability and statistics, algorithm analysis and physics. In this thesis we shall give a new probabilistic derivation of Stirling's formula. Our motivation comes from sampling randomly with replacement from a group of n distinct alternatives. Usually a repetition will occur before we obtain all n distinct alternatives consecutively. We shall show that Stirling's formula can be derived and interpreted as follows: as n---> the expected total number of distinct alternatives we must sample before all n are obtained consecutively is asymptotically equal to the expected number of attempts we make to obtain all n distinct alternatives consecutively times the expected number of distinct alternatives obtained per attempt.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| I. | IN | JTRODUCTION | 1 |
|------|------|--|-----|
| | A. | THE PROBLEM | 1 |
| | В. | MOTIVATION | 2 |
| II. | CC | OMBINATORIAL SET UP | 3 |
| | A. | DEFINITION | 3 |
| | В. | THE AVERAGE OR EXPECTED NUMBER OF DISTINCT BALLS BEFORE A REPETITION | 3 |
| | C. | A RELATED GAME | .10 |
| | D. | ASYMPTOTICS FOR THE TWO GAMES | .16 |
| | | 1. An Inequality for E_n and a Partial Sum of E_n^* | .17 |
| | | 2. The Divergence of E_n and ${E_n}^*$ | .20 |
| | | 3. The Leading Asymptotic Contributions to E_n and E_n^* | .23 |
| | | 4. The Proof that E_n is Asymptotic to E_n^* | .29 |
| III. | ST | IRLING'S FORMULA | .32 |
| | A. | THE COMMON ASYMPTOTIC VALUE OF En AND En* | 32 |
| | В. | THE ASYMPTOTICS OF THE EXPECTED TOTAL NUMBER OF DISTINCT BALLS | .35 |
| | C. | STIRLING'S FORMULA | .38 |
| IV. | CC | ONCLUSION | .40 |
| LIST | ГΟ | F REFERENCES | .42 |
| INII | гі А | I DISTRIBUTION LIST | 12 |

I. INTRODUCTION

A. THE PROBLEM

Asymptotic analysis is important in many areas of modern science, such as the theory of probability, complex analysis and applied mathematics.

Because the factorial function and its asymptotic behavior are often needed in mathematics and engineering, Stirling's formula

$$n! \sim n^n e^{-n} \sqrt{2\pi n}$$
, $(n ----> \infty)$, (1)

is one of the most important and frequently used asymptotic formulas. The notation in (1) means that the ratio of the left side and the right side tends to one as n tends to infinity.

There are several ways to prove Stirling's formula. For example, one can take the logarithm of n! and use Wallis's formula to obtain the factor of $(\pi)^{1/2}$. For this type of proof, see [Ref. 1].

Alternatively, one can start with the integral representation

$$n! = \int_0^{\infty} t^n e^{-t} dt$$

and use Laplace's method for integrals to evaluate it asymptotically. See [Ref. 2] for this type of approach.

All these methods use many techniques from mathematical analysis and some of them are quite sophisticated. We will pursue a new way to prove Stirling's formula using a discrete or combinatorial approach.

This method of proof was mentioned as a research problem in [Ref. 3], and the purpose of this thesis is to present a solution to this problem.

B. MOTIVATION

From (1), Stirling's formula can also be written as

$$\frac{n!}{n^n} \sim \frac{\sqrt{2\pi n}}{2} (\frac{e^n}{2})^{-1}, (n-->\infty).$$

Imagine a box filled with n distinct balls. We shall select balls at random with replacement. The motivation for our approach comes from noting that $n!/n^n$ is the probability of selecting n distinct balls consecutively while $(2\pi n)^{1/2}/2$ is the asymptotic expected number of distinct balls obtained before a repetition as n—> ∞ . These expressions appear in Stirling's formula as written above thus indicating that a combinatorial proof might be possible. The purpose of the next section is to define the combinatorial set up in more detail.

II. COMBINATORIAL SET UP

A. DEFINITION OF THE GAME

Imagine a box filled with identical balls numbered from one to n. We draw a ball from the box at random, write down its number, and replace it, mixing the balls well so that our next draw is also made at random. If we continue this process we will eventually get a repetition for there are only n distinct balls and we must certainly repeat a number by our (n+1)st draw.

We are interested in the task of drawing out all n balls consecutively in this manner. We mean by this that after n consecutive draws, recording the numbers as we draw, we wish to obtain a permutation of the sequence (1,2,3, ...,n). If we obtain a repetition before the desired result, then we start over from the beginning.

The following three questions are of interest:

- What is the average or expected number E_n of distinct balls obtained before a repetition occurs?
- What is the average or expected total number T_n of distinct balls (adding up the number of distinct balls obtained in the first game, the second game ... etc.) selected before obtaining n consecutive distinct balls (i.e., some permutation of (1,2,3, ...,n)).
- What are the asymptotics of E_n and T_n as $n \rightarrow \infty$?

B. THE AVERAGE OR EXPECTED NUMBER OF DISTINCT BALLS BEFORE A REPETITION

Let p_j be the probability that we get exactly j distinct balls before a repetition. In other words, since only j distinct balls are obtained, p_j is the probability of getting a repetition on the (j+1)st draw, and not before.

Since we assume each draw is independent, the probability of drawing a specific ball at any time is just 1/n. We always obtain at least one distinct ball in any play of the game. To obtain exactly one distinct ball in a game we must get a repetition on our second draw. The probability of doing this is 1/n and therefore the probability of obtaining only one distinct ball is

$$p_1 = \frac{1}{n}$$

The probability p_1 also can be written as

$$p_1 = \frac{n-0}{n} \frac{1}{n}$$

To draw two distinct balls before a repetition we must get distinct balls on both the first and second draws. The third draw must then be a repetition of either the first or second draw. Thus we are looking for a three-tuple where the first two elements are distinct and the third element is a repetition of either the first or second element. Since all elements come from $\{1,2,3,...,n\}$, there are a total of n^3 three-tuples, with only $2 \cdot n \cdot (n-1)$ meeting the above requirement. Therefore,

$$p_2 = \frac{n-0}{n} \frac{n-1}{n} \frac{2}{n}$$

In the same way,

$$p_3 = \frac{n-0}{n} \frac{n-1}{n} \frac{n-2}{n} \frac{3}{n}$$

and clearly

$$p_{j} = \frac{n-0}{n} \frac{n-1}{n} \frac{n-2}{n} \frac{n-3}{n} \dots \frac{n-j+1}{n} \frac{j}{n}, (j = 1,2,3,...,n).$$

Let us check that the sum of all probabilities is one, i.e.,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j = 1.$$

Since

$$p_{j} = \frac{n-0}{n} \frac{n-1}{n} \frac{n-2}{n} \frac{n-3}{n} \dots \frac{n-j+1}{n} \frac{j}{n}$$

$$= \frac{j(n)(n-1)\dots(n-j+1)}{n^{j+1}}$$

setting j = n gives

$$p_{n} = \frac{n-0}{n} \frac{n-1}{n} \frac{n-2}{n} \frac{n-3}{n} \dots \frac{n-n+1}{n} \frac{n}{n}$$

$$= \frac{n!}{n^{n}}.$$
(2)

For j = n-1,

$$p_{n-1} = \frac{n-0}{n} \frac{n-1}{n} \frac{n-2}{n} \frac{n-3}{n} \dots \frac{n-(n-1)+1}{n} \frac{n-1}{n}$$

$$= \frac{n-1}{n} \frac{n-2}{n} \frac{n-3}{n} \dots \frac{2}{n} \frac{n-1}{n}$$

$$= \frac{(n-1)(n-1)!}{n^{n-1}} \frac{n}{n}$$

$$= \frac{(n-1)(n)!}{n^n}$$

and for j = n-2,

$$p_{n-2} = \frac{n-1}{n} \frac{n-2}{n} \frac{n-3}{n} \dots \frac{n-(n-2)+1}{n} \frac{n-2}{n}$$

$$= \frac{n-1}{n} \frac{n-2}{n} \frac{n-3}{n} \dots \frac{3}{n} \frac{n-2}{n}$$

$$= \frac{(n-2)(n-1)!}{n^{n-2} 2}$$

$$= \frac{n(n-2) \frac{n!}{n}}{n}$$

In general, for $0 \le j \le n-1$,

$$p_{n-j} = \frac{n-1}{n} \frac{n-2}{n} \frac{n-3}{n} \dots \frac{n-(n-j)+1}{n} \frac{n-j}{n}$$

$$= \frac{(n-j)(n-1)(n-2)\dots(j+1)}{n^{n-j}}$$

$$= \frac{(n-j)(n-1)(n-2)\dots(j+1)}{n^{n-j}} \frac{n^{j}}{n^{j}} \frac{j!}{j!}$$

$$= \frac{n^{j-1}(n-j)}{j!} \frac{n!}{n^{n}}$$

We shall now sum each p_j from p_n to p_1 in reverse order beginning with

$$p_{n} + p_{n-1} = [1 + (n-1)] \frac{n!}{n^{n}}$$
$$= \frac{n n!}{n^{n}}$$

Continuing,

$$p_{n} + p_{n-1} + p_{n-2} = \frac{n \cdot n!}{n^{n}} + \left[\frac{n \cdot (n-2)}{2}\right] \frac{n!}{n^{n}}$$

$$= \left(\frac{2n + n^{2} - 2n}{2}\right) \frac{n!}{n^{n}}$$

$$= \left(\frac{n^{2}}{2}\right) \frac{n!}{n^{n}}$$

and

$$p_{n} + p_{n-1} + p_{n-2} + p_{n-3} = \frac{n^{2}}{2} \frac{n!}{n^{n}} + \frac{n^{2} (n-3)}{3!} \frac{n!}{n^{n}}$$

$$= (\frac{3n^{2} + n^{3} - 3n^{2}}{6}) \frac{n!}{n^{n}}$$

$$= (\frac{n^{3}}{6}) \frac{n!}{n^{n}}$$

We claim that

$$p_n + p_{n-1} + ... + p_{n-j} = \frac{n!}{n^n} \frac{n^j}{j!} \quad (0 \le j \le n-1).$$

We can prove this by induction. Assume

$$p_n + p_{n-1} + ... + p_{n-k} = \frac{n!}{n^n} \frac{n^k}{k!}$$

for some k < n-1. This is the induction hypothesis. It holds for k = 1, 2, and 3.

Then

$$p_{n} + p_{n-1} + \dots + p_{n-k} + p_{n-(k+1)}$$

$$= \frac{n!}{n^{n}} \frac{n^{k}}{k!} + \frac{n^{(k+1)-1}(n-k-1)}{(k+1)!} \frac{n!}{n^{n}}$$

$$= \frac{n!}{n^{n}} \frac{n^{k}}{k!} \left[1 + \frac{(n-k-1)}{(k+1)} \right]$$

$$= \frac{n!}{n^{n}} \frac{n^{k}}{k!} \left(\frac{n}{k+1} \right)$$

$$= \frac{n!}{n^{n}} \frac{n^{k+1}}{(k+1)!}$$

This proves

$$\sum_{k=0}^{j} p_{n-k} = p_n + p_{n-1} + \dots + p_{n-j} = \frac{n!}{n^n} \frac{n^j}{j!} , 0 \le j \le n-1.$$
(3)

Equation (3) now implies

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} p_{n-j}$$

$$= \frac{n!}{n^n} \frac{n^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$$

$$= 1.$$

What is the expected number E_n of distinct balls obtained before a repetition? This expression is

$$E_n = \sum_{k=1}^n k p_k = p_1 + 2 p_2 + 3 p_3 + ... + n p_n$$

$$= p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + \dots + p_n$$
$$+ p_2 + p_3 + \dots + p_n$$
$$+ p_3 + \dots + p_n$$

.

.

 $+ p_n$

$$= 1 + (1-p_1) + (1-p_1-p_2) + \dots + (1-p_1-p_2 - \dots - p_{n-1})$$

From (3),

$$1 - p_1 - p_2 - \dots - p_j = p_{j+1} + p_{j+2} + \dots + p_n$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n-(j+1)} p_{n-k}$$

$$= \frac{n!}{n^n} \frac{n^{n-(j+1)}}{(n-(j+1))!}$$

$$= \frac{n-1}{n} \frac{n-2}{n} \dots \frac{n-j}{n}, (1 \le j \le n-1).$$

Thus

$$E_{n} = 1 + \frac{n-1}{n} + \frac{n-1}{n} \frac{n-2}{n} + \dots + \frac{n-1}{n} \frac{n-2}{n} \dots \frac{1}{n}.$$
 (4)

C. A RELATED GAME

Now we consider a related game to simplify our later analysis. The rules for this new game are as follows: initially, we start with n distinct balls. Now, however, when we select a distinct ball and replace it, we also add a new ball numbered differently from all previous balls. For example, suppose we have just selected the kth distinct ball. Before our next draw, we replace the kth ball and add a new ball numbered n+k, so that our next draw will be from a pool of n+k equally likely distinct balls. Thus, each time we draw out a distinct ball, the number of balls in the box increases by one.

This game, like the previous one, ends when we get a repetition. However, unlike the first game, now it is possible in principle to obtain arbitrarily many distinct balls.

If the game ends, we empty the box and start over with the original n balls.

Now, what is the expected number of distinct balls E_n^* obtained when playing this second game?

Let p_j^* be the probability that we draw out j distinct balls, i.e., repetition occurs on the (j+1)st draw, and not before.

Thus p₁* is the probability that a repetition occurs on the second draw. Since we always get a distinct ball on the first draw, after the first draw, the box has n+1 balls. Therefore,

$$p_1' = \frac{n}{n} \frac{1}{n+1} = \frac{1}{n+1}$$

In the same way, p_2^* is the probability that a repetition happens on the third draw, and not before. Therefore the first and second draws yield distinct balls so that after the first draw there are n+1 balls in the box, and after the second draw there are n+2 balls in the box. The probability of getting a distinct ball on the second draw is n/(n+1), and in order to get a repetition on the third draw, we have only two choices out of n+2 balls in the box. Therefore

$$p_2^{\bullet} = \frac{n}{n} \frac{n}{n+1} \frac{2}{n+2}$$

In the same way we find

$$p_3' = \frac{n}{n} \frac{n}{n+1} \frac{n}{n+2} \frac{3}{n+3}$$

$$p_{j}^{*} = \frac{n}{n} \frac{n}{n+1} \frac{n}{n+2} \frac{n}{n+3} \dots \frac{n}{n+j-1} \frac{j}{n+j}$$

Again we need to show that the sum of all probabilities is one. We argue as follows.

$$p_{1}^{*} = \frac{n}{n} \frac{1}{n+1}$$

$$= 1 - \frac{n}{n+1}$$

$$p_{1}^{*} + p_{2}^{*} = (1 - \frac{n}{n+1}) + (\frac{n}{n} \frac{n}{n+1} \frac{2}{n+2})$$

$$= 1 - \frac{n}{n+1} + \frac{n}{n+1} \frac{2}{n+2}$$

$$= 1 - (\frac{n}{n+1}) (1 - \frac{2}{n+2})$$

$$= 1 - (\frac{n}{n+1}) (\frac{n}{n+2})$$

$$p_{1}^{*} + p_{2}^{*} + p_{3}^{*} = 1 - \frac{n}{n+1} \frac{n}{n+2} + \frac{n}{n+1} \frac{n}{n+2} \frac{3}{n+3}$$

$$= 1 - (\frac{n}{n+1}) (\frac{n}{n+2}) (1 - \frac{3}{n+3})$$

$$= 1 - \frac{n}{n+1} \frac{n}{n+2} \frac{n}{n+3}$$

We claim

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} p_{j}^{*} = 1 - \frac{n^{k}}{(n+1)(n+2)...(n+k)}$$

This is true for k=1.

Assume for the induction hypothesis that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} p_{j}^{*} = 1 - \frac{n^{m}}{(n+1)(n+2)...(n+m)}$$

Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} p_{j}^{*} + p_{m+1}^{*} = 1 - \frac{n^{m}}{(n+1)(n+2)\dots(n+m)} + (\frac{n}{n} \frac{n}{n+1} \frac{n}{n+2} \dots \frac{n}{n+m} \frac{m+1}{n+m+1})$$

$$= 1 - \frac{n^{m}}{(n+1)(n+2)\dots(n+m)} + \frac{n^{m}}{(n+1)(n+2)\dots(n+m)} \frac{m+1}{n+m+1}$$

$$= 1 - (\frac{n^{m}}{(n+1)(n+2)\dots(n+m)}) (1 - \frac{m+1}{n+m+1})$$

$$= 1 - \frac{n^{m+1}}{(n+1)(n+2)\dots(n+m)(n+m+1)}$$

This concludes the induction proof.

Note that the expression

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} p_{j}^{*} = 1 - \frac{n^{k}}{(n+1)(n+2)...(n+k)}$$

can also be written as

$$1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k} p_{j}^{*} = \frac{n^{k}}{(n+1)(n+2)...(n+k)}.$$
 (5)

Now let us consider

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{n^k}{(n+1)(n+2)\dots(n+k)}$$

$$= \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{(1 + \frac{1}{n}) (1 + \frac{2}{n}) \dots (1 + \frac{k}{n})}$$

Since

$$1 + \frac{k}{n} \longrightarrow \infty \text{ as } k \longrightarrow \infty$$

it follows that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{n^k}{(n+1)\,(n+2)\,\ldots\,(n+k)}\ =\ 0.$$

Letting $k--->\infty$ in (5), it then follows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p_j^* = \lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{k} p_j^*$$

$$= \lim_{k\to\infty} [1 - \frac{n^k}{(n+1)(n+2)\dots(n+k)}]$$

$$= 1 - \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{n^k}{(n+1)(n+2)\dots(n+k)}$$

$$= 1$$

Now we shall find the expected number E_n^* of distinct balls for this second game. The expected value E_n^* is

$$E_{n}^{\circ} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k p_{k}^{\circ} = p_{1}^{\circ} + 2p_{2}^{\circ} + 3 p_{3}^{\circ} + \dots$$

$$= p_{1}^{\circ} + p_{2}^{\circ} + p_{3}^{\circ} + p_{4}^{\circ} + \dots$$

$$+ p_{2}^{\circ} + p_{3}^{\circ} + p_{4}^{\circ} + \dots$$

$$+ p_{3}^{\circ} + p_{4}^{\circ} + \dots$$

$$+ p_{4}^{\circ} + \dots$$

$$= 1 + (1 - p_1^*) + [1 - (p_1^* + p_2^*)] + \dots + [1 - (p_1^* + p_2^* + \dots + p_j^*)] + \dots$$

$$= 1 + \frac{n}{n+1} + \frac{n}{n+1} \frac{n}{n+2} + \dots + \frac{n}{n+1} \frac{n}{n+2} \dots \frac{n}{n+j} + \dots$$
(6)

Note that to derive (6) we have used (5).

D. ASYMPTOTICS FOR THE TWO GAMES

We shall now study the expected values of the two games. No closed form expressions appear to exist for E_n and E_n^* as given in (4) and (6). However our proof of Stirling's formula, as mentioned in the introduction, requires the asymptotic behavior of E_n as n---> ∞ . In this section we shall study the asymptotic behavior of E_n and E_n^* .

Recall that

$$E_n = \sum_{k=1}^n k p_k = 1 + \frac{n-1}{n} + \frac{n-1}{n} \frac{n-2}{n} + \dots + \frac{n-1}{n} \frac{n-2}{n} + \dots + \frac{n}{n} \frac{n-2}{n} + \dots + \frac{n}{n}$$

and

$$E_n^* = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k p_k^* = 1 + \frac{n}{n+1} + \frac{n}{n+1} \frac{n}{n+2} + \frac{n}{n+1} \frac{n}{n+2} \frac{n}{n+3} + \dots$$

Let

$$\alpha_k = \frac{n-0}{n} \frac{n-1}{n} \frac{n-2}{n} \dots \frac{n-k}{n}$$
 (7)

$$\beta_k = \frac{n}{n+0} \frac{n}{n+1} \frac{n}{n+2} \dots \frac{n}{n+k}$$
(8)

Then (4) becomes

$$E_{n} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} k p_{k} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_{k}$$
(9)

while (6) becomes

$$E_n^* = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k p_k^* = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k$$
(10)

We are going to show that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \text{ as } n \longrightarrow \infty$$

or

$$E_n \sim E_n^{\cdot}, (n--->\infty).$$
 (11)

We shall do this in several steps which will be given in sections 1 through 4.

1. An Inequality for En and a Partial Sum of En*

The goal of this section is to obtain (15), an important inequality relating E_n to a partial sum of E_n^* .

To accomplish this, there is an inequality we shall need. It is

$$1 - x \le e^{-x} \le \frac{1}{1+x}, x \ge 0.$$
 (12)

We prove the inequality (12) as follows:

If x > 0, then -x < 0. By exponentiating both sides we get $e^{-x} < 1$, or $1 - e^{-x} > 0$.

If x < 0, then -x > 0. By exponentiating both sides we get $e^{-x} > 1$, or $1 - e^{-x} < 0$. Define I(x) by

$$I(x) = \int_0^x (1 - e^{-t}) dt$$

From the above inequalities, we conclude that

$$I(x) > 0$$
, if $x \neq 0$.

However,

$$I(x) = t + e^{-t} \Big|_{0}^{x}$$

$$= x + e^{-x} - 1.$$

Consequently

$$e^{-x} > 1 - x, x \neq 0.$$
 (13)

Note that equality occurs in (13) only if x = 0. When $x \ge 0$, this expression yields the first inequality in (12).

For the second inequality in (12), we note that (13) implies $e^x \ge 1 + x$, ($x \ge 0$), or $e^{-x} \le 1/(1+x)$, ($x \ge 0$). Returning to the problem, from (7) and (12) it follows that for $0 \le k \le n-1$

$$\alpha_k = (1 - \frac{0}{n}) (1 - \frac{1}{n}) (1 - \frac{2}{n}) \dots (1 - \frac{k}{n})$$

$$\leq \, e^{\frac{\cdot\,0}{n}} \,\, e^{\frac{\cdot\,1}{n}} \,\, e^{\frac{\cdot\,2}{n}} \ldots \, e^{\frac{\cdot\,k}{n}}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{1+\frac{0}{n}} \frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{n}} \frac{1}{1+\frac{2}{n}} \dots \frac{1}{1+\frac{k}{n}}$$

$$= \frac{n}{n+1} \frac{n}{n+2} \frac{n}{n+3} \cdots \frac{n}{n+k}$$

$$= \beta_k$$

Therefore,

$$\alpha_{k} \leq e^{\frac{-k(k+1)}{2n}} \leq \beta_{k}, \quad (0 \leq k \leq n-1). \tag{14}$$

Summing the inequality in (14) we conclude that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{\frac{-k(k+1)}{2n}} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \beta_k$$
(15)

Note that (9), (10) and (15) imply

$$E_{n}^{\circ} > E_{n} \tag{16}$$

2. The Divergence of En and En*

We shall need the following inequality, special cases of which will be useful later. This result is given as an exercise on page 60 of [Ref. 4].

If $\mu_i > -1$ for i=1,2,...,m where m>1 and $\mu_1,\ \mu_2,...,\mu_m$ are all positive or negative, then

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + \mu_i) > 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i$$
(17)

We shall prove this by induction. For m = 2 the inequality holds since

$$(1 + \mu_1) (1 + \mu_2) = 1 + \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_1 \mu_2$$

> $1 + \mu_1 + \mu_2$.

Assume the inequality is true for m = k, i.e.,

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k} (1 + \mu_i) > 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \mu_i$$

This is the induction hypothesis. Multiplying both sides by $1 + \mu_{k+1} > 0$, we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k+1} \left(1 + \mu_i \right) > 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \mu_i + \mu_{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \mu_i$$

$$> 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \mu_i$$

The above inequality holds because all μ_i are either positive or negative. This completes the proof.

Since

$$\alpha_k = (1 - \frac{0}{n})(1 - \frac{1}{n})(1 - \frac{2}{n})...(1 - \frac{k}{n}), (0 \le k \le n-1).$$

Clearly

$$0<\alpha_k^{}\leq 1\;,\quad (\;0\leq k\leq n\text{-}1),$$

with $\alpha_k = 1$ only when k = 0.

We claim that

$$(1-\frac{1}{n})\dots(1-\frac{k}{n}) \ge 1-(\frac{1}{n}+\dots+\frac{k}{n}), (1 \le k \le n-1).$$

This follows from (17) with $\mu_i = -i/n$ and m = n-1. In this case $-1 < \mu_i < 0$ for i = 1, 2, ..., n-1. Using the definition of α_{κ} and admitting the case k = 0, we conclude

$$1 - (\frac{0}{n} + \frac{1}{n} + \dots + \frac{k}{n}) \le \alpha_k \le 1, (0 \le k \le n-1).$$
(18)

Since

$$\frac{0}{n} + \frac{1}{n} + \dots + \frac{k}{n} = \frac{k(k+1)}{2n}$$

(18) may be written as

$$1 - \frac{k(k+1)}{2n} \le \alpha_k \le 1$$
, $(0 \le k \le n-1)$.

It follows that if $k^2 = o(n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (i.e., $k^2/n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$), then

$$\alpha_k \longrightarrow 1$$
 as $n \longrightarrow \infty$.

So, for example, when $k \le n^{1/4}$

$$\alpha_k \longrightarrow 1$$
 as $n \longrightarrow \infty$.

This implies $E_n ---> \infty$, as $n---> \infty$, and since

$$E_n^* > E_n$$

by (16), it follows that E_n^* ---> ∞ as n---> ∞ too.

Thus, in both games the expected number of distinct balls obtained before a repetition occurs tends to infinity as the initial number of balls in the box tends to infinity.

3. The Leading Asymptotic Contributions to E_n and E_n^* In this section we shall show that

$$E_n \sim \sum_{k=0}^{k_n} \alpha_k, E_n^* \sim \sum_{k=0}^{k_n} \beta_k, (n--->\infty),$$

when

$$k_n = \left[n^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} \right], \quad (0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{6}).$$

This result determines the leading asymptotic contribution to E_n and E_n^* . In order to show this, we shall need Bernoulli's inequality:

$$(1+x)^m > 1+mx$$
, if $m > 1$, $x > -1$ and $x \ne 0$. (19)

Bernoulli's inequality is a special case of the inequality in (17). Choose $\mu_i = x$ for i = 1, 2, ..., m when $x \neq 0$ and x > -1. Then (17) implies (19).

Using (19) for any positive integer k with $2 \le k < n$, $(1 - k/n) < (1 - 1/n)^k$, and $(1 - k/n) = (1 - 1/n)^k$, for k = 1 or k = 0. Now we define

$$k_n = \left\lfloor n^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} \right\rfloor, \quad (0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{6}). \tag{20}$$

The number k_n is the largest integer less then or equal to $n^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}$, i.e.,

$$(n^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} - 1) < k_n \le n^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}$$

If $k > k_n$, and k is an integer then

$$n^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} < k_n + 1 \le k$$

so that

$$\frac{k^2}{n} > n^{2\varepsilon}, \text{ i.e., } \frac{-k^2}{n} < -n^{2\varepsilon}.$$
 (21)

Recall that

$$E_n^* = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \beta_k + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \beta_k$$

If $k \ge n$,

$$\beta_k = \frac{n}{n+0} \frac{n}{n+1} \frac{n}{n+2} \dots \frac{n}{n+n} \frac{n}{2n+1} \dots \frac{n}{2n+k-n}$$

so that

$$\beta_k \le \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k-n}.$$

Consequently,

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \beta_k \le \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} 2^{n-k}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j}$$

$$= 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \dots$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= 2. \tag{22}$$

Since E_n diverges, it follows that E_n $\sim \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \beta_k$, $(n--->\infty)$.

Now, for $k \leq n$,

$$\beta_{k} = \frac{n}{n+0} \frac{n}{n+1} \frac{n}{n+2} \dots \frac{n}{n+k}$$

$$= (1 - \frac{0}{n+0}) (1 - \frac{1}{n+1}) (1 - \frac{2}{n+2}) \dots (1 - \frac{k}{n+k})$$

$$\leq (1 - \frac{0}{2n}) (1 - \frac{1}{2n}) (1 - \frac{2}{2n}) \dots (1 - \frac{k}{2n})$$

$$\leq (1 - \frac{1}{2n})^{0} (1 - \frac{1}{2n})^{1} (1 - \frac{1}{2n})^{2} \dots (1 - \frac{1}{2n})^{k}$$

by (19). Since the right side of the above inequality can be written as

$$(1-\frac{1}{2n})^{0+1+2+...+k}$$

and 0 + 1 + 2 + ... + k = k(k+1)/2, it follows that

$$\beta_k \le \left(1 - \frac{1}{2n}\right)^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}}$$

This inequality implies

$$\beta_{k} \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{2n}\right)^{\frac{k^{2}}{2}}$$

$$\leq \left(e^{\frac{-1}{2n}}\right)^{\frac{k^{2}}{2}}, \quad (by (12))$$

$$= e^{\frac{-k^{2}}{4n}}.$$

Since (21) implies

$$\frac{-k^2}{4n} < \frac{-n^2 \varepsilon}{4}$$

for $k_n < k < n$, it follows that

$$\beta_k < e^{\frac{-n^{2\epsilon}}{4}}, (k_n < k < n).$$

Now recall from (14), that when $k \le n-1$

$$\alpha_k \leq \beta_k$$

Combining the last two inequalities then yields

$$\alpha_k \le \beta_k < e^{\frac{-n^{2t}}{4}}, (k_n < k < n).$$

It then follows that

$$\sum_{k=k_{n}+1}^{n-1} \alpha_{k} \leq \sum_{k=k_{n}+1}^{n-1} \beta_{k} < n e^{\frac{-n^{2} \epsilon}{4}}$$

Since $n e^{\frac{-n^2t}{4}}$ --->0 as n---> ∞ , it follows that

$$\sum_{k=k_n+1}^{n-1} \alpha_k \longrightarrow 0 \tag{23}$$

$$\sum_{k=k_{n}+1}^{n-1} \beta_{k} --->0 \tag{24}$$

as n--->∞.

Recall that

$$E_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{k_n} \beta_k + \sum_{k=k_n+1}^{n-1} \beta_k + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \beta_k$$

Since $E_n ---> \infty$ as $n---> \infty$ and the second and third sums in the above expression are finite by (22) and (24). It follows that

$$E_n^* \sim \sum_{k=0}^{k_n} \beta_k, \quad (n---> \infty).$$
 (25)

Similarly,

$$E_n = \sum_{k=0}^{k_n} \alpha_k + \sum_{k_n+1}^{n-1} \alpha_k .$$

Since E_n also diverges as n---> ∞ , and the second sum above is finite by (23), as n---> ∞ we conclude

$$E_n \sim \sum_{k=0}^{k_n} \alpha_k$$
, $(n--->\infty)$. (26)

4. The Proof that En is Asymptotic to En*

From the above analysis, we conclude that

$$E_n \sim \sum_{k=0}^{k_n} \alpha_k$$
 , $E_n^* \sim \sum_{k=0}^{k_n} \beta_k$, $(n--->\infty)$.

We shall now show that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{k_n} \alpha_k \sim \sum_{k=0}^{k_n} \beta_k, (n --- > \infty),$$

or from (9) and (10) that

$$E_n \sim E_n^*$$
 as $n \rightarrow \infty$

thus proving (11).

For $0 \le k \le k_n$,

$$\frac{\alpha_{k}}{\beta_{k}} = \frac{(1 - \frac{1}{n})(1 - \frac{2}{n})...(1 - \frac{k}{n})}{(\frac{n}{n+1})(\frac{n}{n+2})...(\frac{n}{n+k})}$$

$$= \frac{(\frac{n-1}{n})(\frac{n-2}{n})...(\frac{n-k}{n})}{(\frac{n}{n+1})(\frac{n}{n+2})...(\frac{n}{n+k})}$$

$$= (\frac{n^{2} - 1^{2}}{n^{2}})(\frac{n^{2} - 2^{2}}{n^{2}})...(\frac{n^{2} - k^{2}}{n^{2}})$$

$$= (1 - (\frac{1}{n})^{2}) (1 - (\frac{2}{n})^{2}) \dots (1 - (\frac{k}{n})^{2})$$

$$\geq (1 - (\frac{k}{n})^{2})^{k}$$

$$\geq 1 - \frac{k^{3}}{n^{2}} \text{ (by Bernoulli's inequality)}$$

$$\geq 1 - \frac{k^{3}}{n^{2}}.$$

From this analysis and (14), it follows that

$$\beta_k (1 - \frac{k_n^3}{n^2}) \le \alpha_k \le \beta_k, (0 \le k \le k_n).$$

Summing the above inequality gives

$$(1 - \frac{k_n^3}{n^2}) \sum_{k=0}^{k_n} \beta_k \le \sum_{k=0}^{k_n} \alpha_k \le \sum_{k=0}^{k_n} \beta_k$$
(27)

By the definition in (20),

$$k_n = \left[n^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}\right], (0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{6}),$$

SO

$$\frac{k_n^{\frac{3}{2}}}{n^2} \le \frac{(n^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon})^3}{n^2} \le \frac{n^{\frac{3}{2}+3\epsilon}}{n^2} = n^{\frac{-1}{2}+3\epsilon}$$

Since $0 < \varepsilon < 1/6$, it follows that

$$\frac{k_n^3}{n^2} ---> 0 \text{ as } n---> \infty$$

Letting $n--->\infty$ in (27), we can conclude that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{k_{n}} \alpha_{k} \sim \sum_{k=0}^{k_{n}} \beta_{k}, \quad (n--->\infty)$$
(28)

From (25) and (26), it then follows that

$$E_n \sim E_n^*$$
, $(n--->\infty)$.

III. STIRLING'S FORMULA

A. THE COMMON ASYMPTOTIC VALUE OF E_n AND E_n .

In Chapter II we proved that

$$E_n \sim E_n^*$$
, $(n--->\infty)$

In this chapter we will determine the common asymptotic value of E_n and $E_n^{\,*}$.

From (14) we have

$$\alpha_k \le e^{\frac{-k(k+1)}{2n}} \le \beta_k, (0 \le k \le n-1)$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{k_{n}} \alpha_{k} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{k_{n}} e^{\frac{-k(k+1)}{2n}} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{k_{n}} \beta_{k}$$
(29)

Letting $n--->\infty$ in (29), and using (28) we conclude

$$\sum_{k=0}^{k_{n}} \alpha_{k} \sim \sum_{k=0}^{k_{n}} e^{\frac{\cdot k^{2}}{2n}} e^{\frac{\cdot k}{2n}} , (n-->\infty),$$

(30)

$$\sum_{k=0}^{k_n} \beta_k \sim \sum_{k=0}^{k_n} e^{\frac{-k^2}{2n}} e^{\frac{-k}{2n}} , (n--->\infty).$$

Consider the following inequality:

$$e^{\frac{-k_n}{2n}} \sum_{k=0}^{k_n} e^{\frac{-k^2}{2n}} \le \sum_{k=0}^{k_n} e^{\frac{-k^2}{2n}} e^{\frac{-k}{2n}} \le \sum_{k=0}^{k_n} e^{\frac{-k^2}{2n}}$$
(31)

Since $k_n/n = o(1)$ as $n ---> \infty$, we conclude from (31) that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{k_n} e^{\frac{\cdot k^2}{2n}} e^{\frac{\cdot k}{2n}} \sim \sum_{k=0}^{k_n} e^{\frac{\cdot k^2}{2n}}, (n--->\infty).$$

From (25), (26) and (30) it then follows that

$$E_n \sim \sum_{k=0}^{k_n} e^{\frac{-k^2}{2n}}, (n--->\infty),$$
 (32)

and

$$E_n^{\circ} \sim \sum_{k=0}^{k_n} e^{\frac{-k^2}{2n}}, (n--->\infty).$$
 (33)

To asymptotically estimate the sum in (32) and (33), note the function

$$f(x) = e^{-x^2}$$

is positive and monotone decreasing on [0, ∞). Setting

$$h = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 n}}$$

we then have

$$f(kh) = e^{-(\frac{k}{\sqrt{2n}})^2} = e^{-\frac{k^2}{2n}}$$

and

$$\int_{h}^{(k_{n}+1)h} f(x) dx \le \sum_{k=1}^{k_{n}} h f(kh) \le \int_{0}^{k_{n}h} f(x) dx.$$
 (34)

Since

$$k_n > n^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} - 1,$$

and $k_n h = \frac{k_n}{\sqrt{2 n}}$, it follows that

$$k_n h > \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}} (n^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} - 1)$$

or

$$k_n h > \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(n^{\epsilon} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right).$$

Hence, k_n h---> ∞ and h--->0, as n---> ∞ .

Letting $n-->\infty$ in (34), we conclude

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}} \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} e^{\frac{-k^2}{2n}} \right] = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x^2} dx = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}$$

or

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} e^{\frac{-k^2}{2n}} \sim \frac{\sqrt{2\pi n}}{2} , \quad (n--->\infty).$$
 (35)

Equation (32), (33) and (35) then impliy

$$E_n \sim \frac{\sqrt{2\pi n}}{2}, E_n \sim \frac{\sqrt{2\pi n}}{2}, (n--->\infty)$$
 (36)

B. THE ASYMPTOTICS OF THE EXPECTED TOTAL NUMBER OF DISTINCT BALLS

In the first game considered above we defined T_n to be the expected total number of distinct balls obtained before winning. Let p be the probability of winning the game, and q = 1 - p be the probability of losing. Recall that one wins the game if n distinct balls are obtained consecutively and loses otherwise.

Let the random variable X be the number of times we play the game before winning. Then

$$P(X=k) = pq^{k-1}, (k = 1,2,3,...).$$

The probability p of winning the game, i.e., of getting exactly n distinct balls before a repetition, is $p = p_n = n!/n^n$, by (2).

The expected value of X is

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kP(X=k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kpq^{k-1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{p}$$
$$= \frac{n^n}{n!}$$

This means that, on average, we must play $\,n^n/n!$ times in order to win. Therefore, the expected total number of distinct balls T_n obtained before a win can be represented as follows:

$$T_n = n + (\frac{1}{p} - 1) E_n$$
.

This is the value of T_n because the expected number of plays is 1/p (= $n^n/n!$). Among these plays, one must be a win, and furthermore that win must occur on the very last play. All the other plays are losses. When we win, we draw out n distinct balls, and when we lose, the expected number of distinct balls is E_n . Therefore,

$$T_n = n + (\frac{1}{p} - 1) E_n$$
 (37)

This expression can be rearranged as

$$T_n = n - E_n + \frac{E_n}{p}.$$

From (36),

$$E_{\rm n} \sim \frac{\sqrt{2\pi n}}{2}, E_{\rm n} \sim \frac{\sqrt{2\pi n}}{2}, (n--->\infty)$$

So $n - E_n = O(n)$, $(n-->\infty)$. It follows that

$$T_n = O(n) + \frac{E_n}{p}. \tag{38}$$

Now consider E_n/p . Since

$$E_n \sim E_n^*$$
, $(n--->\infty)$,

we can write

$$\frac{E_n}{p} \sim \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{E_n}{p} + \frac{E_n^*}{p} \right), \quad (n-->\infty)$$
 (39)

But

$$\frac{E_n}{p} + \frac{E_n^*}{p} = \frac{1}{p} (E_n + E_n^*)$$

$$= \frac{n^n}{n!} (\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k)$$

$$= (\frac{n^n}{n!}) [(1 + \frac{n-1}{n} + \frac{n-1}{n} \frac{n-2}{n} + \dots + \frac{n-1}{n} \frac{n-2}{n} \dots \frac{1}{n}) + (1 + \frac{n}{n+1} + \frac{n}{n+1} \frac{n}{n+2} + \dots)]$$

$$= \left(\frac{n^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} + \frac{n^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} + \frac{n^{n-3}}{(n-3)!} + \dots \right) + \left(\frac{n^{n}}{n!} + \frac{n^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} + \frac{n^{n+2}}{(n+2)!} + \dots\right)$$

and the last expression, after rearranging, can be written as

$$(1+n+\frac{n^2}{2!}+\dots+\frac{n^{n-2}}{(n-2)!}+\frac{n^{n-1}}{(n-1)!})+(\frac{n^n}{n!}+\frac{n^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}+\frac{n^{n+2}}{(n+2)!}+\dots)$$

$$= e^n$$

It follows from (39) that

$$\frac{E_n}{p} \sim \frac{e^n}{2} , (n-->\infty). \tag{40}$$

With this information, letting n--->∞ in (38) yields

$$T_n \sim \frac{e^n}{2}$$
, $(n--->\infty)$. (41)

C. STIRLINGS' FORMULA

From (38), (40) and (41)

$$T_n \sim \frac{E_n}{p}, (n-->\infty)$$
 (42)

i.e.,

$$T_n \sim \frac{n^n}{n!} E_n$$
 , $(n--->\infty)$, (43)

Since

$$E_n \sim \frac{\sqrt{2\pi n}}{2}$$
, $(n--->\infty)$

(43) implies

$$T_n \sim \frac{n^n}{n!} \; \frac{\sqrt{2\pi n}}{2} \; , \; \; (n-->\infty). \label{eq:tau_n}$$

Using (41), we get

$$\frac{e^{n}}{2} \sim \frac{n^{n}}{n!} \frac{\sqrt{2\pi n}}{2} , (n--->\infty),$$
 (44)

or alternatively,

$$n! \sim \frac{n^n}{e^n} \sqrt{2\pi n}$$
, $(n--->\infty)$.

This can also be written as

$$n! \sim n^n e^{-n} \sqrt{2\pi n}$$
, $(n--->\infty)$,

and this is Stirling's formula (1).

IV. CONCLUSION

We have given a new combinatorial or probabilistic derivation of Stirling's formula. Our derivation also gives another way to interpret Stirling's formula. To see this, let us consider a specific value for n. Suppose n = 20, i.e., there are 20 distinct balls in the box. The expected number of distinct balls E_{20} obtained is

$$E_{20} = 1 + \frac{19}{20} + \frac{19}{20} \frac{18}{20} + \frac{19}{20} \frac{18}{20} \frac{17}{20} + \dots + \frac{19!}{20^{19}}$$

= 5.293584585.

This is fairly close to the asymptotic value of E_n as $n--->\infty$, i.e.,

$$\frac{\sqrt{2\pi (20)}}{2} = 5.604991216.$$

The probability of winning a game when n = 20 is

$$p = p_{20} = \frac{20!}{20^{20}}.$$

so that the expected number of plays before a win is

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{20^{20}}{20!} \approx 43099804$$

By (37), the expected total number of distinct balls T_{20} obtained before a win is

$$T_{20} = 20 + (43099804 - 1)(E_{20})$$

= 228152473. (45)

The asymptotic formula (41) for T_n gives, for n = 20,

$$T_n \approx \frac{e^{20}}{2}$$

≈ 242582598,

and this is of the same order as (45). As n gets larger, the agreement between the exact formula for T_n and the asymptotic formula will get increasingly better. Notice that when n = 20 the expected number of plays before a win is quite large.

To conclude, we can interpret Stirling's formula as written in (44) in the following way using (42). As n--->∞ the expected total number of distinct balls obtained before a win is asymptotic to the expected number of plays necessary to win times the expected number of distinct balls per play.

LIST OF REFERENCES

- 1. Franklin, Philip, Methods of Advanced Calculus, McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., 1966.
- 2. Olver, F. W. J., Asymptotics and Special Functions, Academic Press Inc., 1974.
- 3. Graham, R.L., Knuth, D. E. and Patashnik, O., Concrete Mathematics , Addison Wesley, Reading, MA., 1989.
- 4. Hardy, G., Littlewood, J. E. and Pólya, G., *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, 1989.

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

| | | No. | Copies |
|----|--|-----|--------|
| 1. | Defense Technical Information Center Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22304-6145 | | 2 |
| 2. | Library, Code 52 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943-5002 | | 2 |
| 3. | Department Chairman, Code MA/Fs Department of Mathematics Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943-5000 | | 1 |
| 4. | Professor M. Aissen, Code MA/Ai Department of Mathematics Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943-5000 | | 1 |
| 5. | Professor C. L. Frenzen, Code MA/Fr Department of Mathematics Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943-5000 | | 2 |
| 6. | Naval Academy Library Kaohsiung, Tsoying P. O. Box 90175 Taiwan, Republic of China | | 2 |
| 7. | Li, Hsin-Yun 2F, No. 9, Lane 21 Minli S.T. Gushan Kaohsiung Taiwan, Republic of China | | 5 |

497-167









Thesis
L61953 Li
C.l A probabilistic
derivation of Stirling's
formula.

Thesis
L61953 Li
c.l A probabilistic
derivation of Stirling's
formula.



3 2768 00014318 4